

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,042	12/27/2001	Maris Vistins	15999	1822
23556 75	90 03/23/2004	EXAMINER		
	CLARK WORLDWID	LEE, EDMUND H		
401 NORTH LA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEENAH, WI	147.00		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/034,04		VISTINS, MARIS					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		EDMUND		1732					
The	MAILING DATE of this commun				dress				
Period for Rep		7.7							
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period fo - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI time may be available under the provisions or reply specified above is less than thirty (3 or reply is specified above, the maximum stay within the set or extended period for reply ived by the Office later than three months a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the state attractory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11/7/03 AND 3/</u>	<u>5/04</u> .						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	Claims								
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim 8) ☐ Claim	4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8,10,11,13 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9,12 and 15-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
9)∏ The sp	pecification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	rTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔯 Information E	nsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date <u>7/14/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/034,042 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

'ڼ,

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8,10-11 and 13-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper Nos. 11/17/03 and 3/5/04.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 9,12 and 15-24 in Paper No. 11/17/03 and 3/5/04 is acknowledged.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 9, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2). Richardson et al teach the claimed article as evident by col 1, Ins 19-50; col 2, Ins 10-16; col 3, Ins 6-10; col 3, In 55-col 4, In 16; and figs 1-3.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/034,042

Art Unit: 1732

Richardson et al (USPN 5524294). The above teachings of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) are incorporated hereinafter. Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) do not a third coating. Richardson et al (USPN 5524294) teach dip molding an article such as a glove having more than two coatings (col 4, Ins 25-63; col 5, Ins 35-40); and using contrasting colors for each coating (col 4, Ins 25-63; col 5, Ins 35-40). Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) and Richardson et al (USPN 5524294) are combinable because they are analogous with respect to a glove having multiple differently colored coatings. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a third layer as taught by Richardson et al (USPN 5524294) over the first and second coatings of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) in order to form a glove having multiple layers of protection and breach identification.

7. Claims 16,17,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) as applied to claim 15 above and further in view of Richardson et al (USPN 5524294). The above teachings of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) are incorporated hereinafter. Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) do not teach a third film formed on top of the second layer; a third layer comprising either a clear or translucent polymeric film; a third film layer that is in contrast to the second layer; and a fourth layer formed on top of the third layer wherein the fourth layer is either clear or translucent. In regard to a third film formed on top of the second layer, Richardson et al (USPN 5524294) teach an article such as a glove having more than two coatings (col 4, Ins 25-63; col 5, Ins 35-40); and using contrasting colors for each coating (col 4, Ins 25-63; col 5, Ins 35-40). Richardson et al (EP

Application/Control Number: 10/034,042

Art Unit: 1732

S

0672509 A2) and Richardson et al (USPN 5524294) are combinable because they are analogous with respect to an article having multiple differently colored coatings. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a third layer on top of the second layer of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) in order to form an article having multiple layers of protection and breach identification. In regard to a third layer comprising either a clear or translucent polymeric film, such is well-known in the multi-layered art in order to provide protection. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the third layer of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) (modified) clear or translucent in order to provide protection to the article of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2). In regard to a third film layer that is in contrast to the second layer, such is taught by the above combination of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) and Richardson et al (USPN 5524294). In regard to a fourth layer formed on top of the third layer wherein the fourth layer is either clear or translucent, such is well-known in the multi-layered art for improved protection and aesthetic appeal. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a clear or translucent fourth layer on top of the third layer of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) (modified) in order to improve protection and aesthetic appeal.

8. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) in view of Horwege et al (USPN 5881386). In regard to claim 21, Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) teach a colored polymer coated filmbased article such as a glove or condom (col 1, lns 19-50; col 2, lns 10-16; col 3, lns 6-

Application/Control Number: 10/034,042

Art Unit: 1732

10; col 3, In 55-col 4, In 16; and figs 1-3); a first layer of polymeric film material (col 1, Ins 19-50; col 2, Ins 10-16; col 3, Ins 6-10; col 3, In 55-col 4, In 16; and figs 1-3); and a second layer of polymeric material formed on top of the first layer wherein the second layer visually contrasts the first layer (col 1, Ins 19-50; col 2, Ins 10-16; col 3, Ins 6-10; col 3, In 55-col 4, In 16; and figs 1-3). However, Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) do not teach using polyvinylchloride as the first layer; and using polyurethane as the second layer. Horwege et al (USPN 5881386) teach a multi-layered article such as a glove having a first layer of polyvinylchloride and a second layer of polyurethane (col 1, Ins 27-35). Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) and Horwege et al (USPN 5881386) are combinable because they are analogous with respect to multi-layered articles such as gloves. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polyvinylchloride and polyurethane as the first and second layers of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2), respectively, in order to provide a flexible, powder-free article. In regard to claim 22, a third clear or translucent layer over the second layer is well-known in the multi-layered art in order to provide protection. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a clear or translucent third layer to the article of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) in order to provide protection. In regard to claim 23, such is taught by Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2). In regard to claim 24, such is wellknown in the multi-layered art in order to reduce material costs without reducing quality. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the second layer of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) coat Application/Control Number: 10/034,042 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

less of the article than the first layer of Richardson et al (EP 0672509 A2) in order to reduce material costs without sacrificing quality.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Richardson et al (USPN 5817365) teach a multi-layered glove having differently colored layers.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

3/16/04